

Traffic modelling and control based on different simulation strategies

Dejan Ćiprovski, Aleksej Makarov
Vlatacom Institute for High Technologies
Belgrade, Serbia
dejan.ciprovski@vlatacom.com

Dejan Ćiprovski
Faculty of information studies
Metropolitan University
Belgrade, Serbia

Abstract — In this paper are described different strategies for traffic control and simulation - Vehicle Actuated (VA) compared to Fixed Time (FT) traffic control. We are comparing and evaluating the impact of FT and adaptive traffic signal control strategies for one of the biggest and busiest intersections in Tashkent, capital of Uzbekistan. Parameters showing the difference are presented through average vehicle travel times and average vehicle queue length. TRL TRANSYT 15 software is used to determine the fixed signal timing plan based on intersection geometry, intergreen matrix and traffic flow. PTV VISSIM software is used for VA algorithm development and simulation in order to get the maximum and average vehicle travel times as well as queue length. It is shown that parameter optimization can reduce queue length by factor of up to 65% and travel time up to 51%.

Keywords - traffic control, intelligent traffic light, simulation, signal control methods

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, having an effective and well-managed traffic signal control scheme is essential for reducing traffic congestion. Traffic signals operate in either fixed timed or actuated mode or some combination of the two. A sequence of intervals with fixed durations makes up pre-timed control. They repeat a preset constant cycle. Actuated signals, as opposed to fixed timed signals, can react to the presence of vehicles or individuals at the crossing. Intervals are called and extended as a result of vehicle detectors in actuated control. In response to detector actuations, the controllers can change the order and sequence of phases in addition to changing the cycle duration and green times [1].

Utilizing data and information from detectors placed at intersection approaches, vehicle-actuated control manages traffic flows at intersections. Semi-actuated and full-actuated are two categories in which this type of control might be placed. Detectors for the semi-actuated traffic signal control should be placed at the intersection approaches with low hourly traffic volumes (side approach). Therefore, green traffic light (right of way) is active for traffic flows at main approach when there is no demand from the detectors at side roads. When the detectors are triggered, right of way belongs to traffic flows at side road. Detectors are placed at each of the intersection's approaches in the fully actuated traffic signal

control. In this method of control, the detectors placed in each approach are used to gather data and information on traffic flows. In order to manage the intersection, data received and information from all detectors are used [2].

The paper is organized as follows. The second section of the paper summarize related works around the world, third and fourth sections are devoted to the traffic control modelling. Fifth section is devoted to the results of the simulation. Finally, Section VI concludes the paper.

II. RELATED WORKS

There are numerous articles and papers written related to this topic. In this section will be reviewed some of them. Inefficient traffic management has been a major urban problem that has resulted in large economic expenses in numerous cities across the world. According to the Institute of Economic Affairs (IEA) analysis, a 2 minute delay to every car journey costs the United Kingdom economy almost 16 billion GBP annually, almost 1% of GDP [3].

In Macedonia, signal control strategies are signal group based and they operate under isolated and coordinated FT control. The city of Skopje is an exception, where UTOPIA adaptive traffic signal control has been put into place to reduce traffic congestion. The city of Skopje also implemented isolated and coordinated FT control before the implementation of UTOPIA. The UTOPIA is an adaptive traffic control system created to improve traffic flows and give public transportation significant priority while maintaining private traffic's travel times [4].

Since the early 1980s, Chile has implemented TRANSYT as a tool for cost-benefit analyses of infrastructure projects and traffic control plans. In addition, the Santiago's Area Traffic Control System has deployed signals that operate based on timing computed using TRANSYT 8S since the middle of 1990s. The SCOOT (Split Cycle Offset Optimization Technique) software is used by some networks. The 1750 traffic lights in the capital are controlled by the Santiago Area Traffic Control System; 1410 of them operate on FT signal plans based on TRANSYT, 270 on SCOOT, and 70 isolated intersections are fully actuated (VA). Due to the network's largely consistent traffic patterns and occasional significant saturation, fixed-time plans are used (e.g., towards the city center in the morning - AM peak and from the city center in

the evening - PM peak). It is well known that under such circumstances, FT plans that are activated at specified periods and last for an extended period of time are sufficient [5].

The study done in the city of Jaipur (MI Road – 9 intersections), India suggests that overall, the VA controllers with the improved implementation strategy performed much better than the current FT signals. However, the cycle time length, green time, gap, and so forth need to be studied; typically, with the help of robust simulators. Further, the performance can be significantly improved, especially along the corridor, using a good progression (coordination) model [6].

III. FIXED-TIME TRAFFIC SIMULATION SOFTWARE

For the aim of developing, analyzing, and modeling all kinds of intersections (from isolated to large signal-controlled intersections as well as priority-controlled traffic) TRL TRANSYT software can be used [5]. TRANSYT is a software suite that includes a macroscopic traffic model, a signal optimizer, and a simulation model. Using manually supplied traffic flows, the basic traffic model determines a baseline Performance Index (an economic cost based on stops and delays). The signal timings are then modified as part of an optimization process by TRANSYT with the goal of lowering the Performance Index (PI). In this paper, TRANSYT software is used to generate fixed signal plans based on intersection geometry, traffic flow and traffic movements. Basic traffic control terminology used is as follows:

- 1) **Phase** is a signal that is displayed for a certain pedestrian or traffic link. One or more signal heads are fed by each phase at a junction (mostly the same approach), which operates as an electrical circuit from the controller.
- 2) **Stage** is a group of non-concurrent phases that operate simultaneously.
- 3) **Cycle time** denotes one complete set of traffic signal operation.
- 4) **Intergreen period** is the amount of time between the conclusion of one phase's right of way and the beginning of the next phase's right of way.

A. Intersection design

The intersection used in this paper is one of the busiest and largest intersections in Tashkent as shown in Fig.1. The intersection is four-legged with North (N), South (S), East (E) and West (W) approaches (Figure 1). North, East and West approaches have 4 traffic lanes while South approach has 3 traffic lanes. Every approach is controlled by its own Phase:

- North = Phase A;
- East = Phase B;
- South = Phase C;
- West = Phase D.

Due to underground passage pedestrian movements are not considered within this paper.




Figure 1 Intersection Network Diagram - TRANSYT

B. Intergreen matrix

In order to ensure basic traffic safety of the intersection, the Conflict matrix with intergreen times is introduced. Conflicts occur when two movements at a junction, such as a main road and a side road, cannot proceed safely at the same time. The example of conflict points is shown in the picture below (Figure 2).




Figure 2 Conflict points (4-legged intersection)

After the Conflict matrix is established, the Intergreen periods have to be calculated. When configuring a junction, intergreen periods (Figure 3) must be carefully measured. If they are too short, they can cause next stage to begin before the previous one ends. Otherwise, too long intergreen intervals can cause unnecessary delay within intersection, which can have a negative impact on the intersection capacity.

Intergreen Matrix		Interstage Matrix				Banned Stage Changes			
\	A	B	C	D					
A	-	9		9					
B	5	-	3						
C		5	-	4					
D	9		9	-					

Figure 3 Intergreen times

C. Traffic counts – OD matrices

The following step is to calculate the Origin Destination (OD) Matrices. OD matrices enable the specification of origin and destination traffic flows, which are then automatically assigned to traffic streams within the TRANSYT network. Because traffic composition affects the capacity of traffic signal approaches, the traffic count was performed with classification. The effect of traffic composition on capacity is typically considered using weighting factors known as "passenger car units". All vehicle types are converted into passenger car units (PCU) using constant factors. Table 1 shows the values of PCU used simulation.

Table 1 PCU values

Vehicle type	PCU
Car/Van	1.0
Bus	2.0
Truck	2.3
Bike	0.2
Motorcycles	0.4
Tractor	1.5
Cart	3

Figure 4 represents the OD matrix with traffic counts (PCU) during the rush hour (5pm). OD matrices approaches are marked with numbers:

- North (Phase A) = 1;
- East (Phase B) = 2;
- South (Phase C) = 3;
- West (Phase D) = 4.

\	1	2	3	4	Total
1	0	850	510	340	1700
2	420	0	140	840	1400
3	600	300	0	100	1000
4	320	960	320	0	1600
Total	1340	2110	970	1280	-

Figure 4 OD matrix

D. Current fixed signal timing plan

The current cycle time for the intersection is set to 130 seconds. Phase distribution within stages is shown on the image below (Figure 5). Intersection is operating in 2 stage mode.

- Stage 1: Phases A, C = green; B, D = red;
- Stage 2: Phases B, D = green; A, C = red.




Figure 5 Stage sequence

The existing signal timing plan at the intersection is presented in Figure 6. Because of the higher traffic flow from North approach, phase A is extended within Stage 1 as well as phase D within Stage 2. It means that at the intersection are presented 2 extended stages.




Figure 6 Current signal timing plan

Phases duration are shown in the table below (Table 2). The traffic light sequence is red, red/amber (3 seconds), green, amber (3 seconds), red.

Table 2 Phases duration

Phase	Active	Duration
A	3 – 61	58 seconds
B	70 – 112	42 seconds
C	3 – 45	42 seconds
D	70 – 124	54 seconds

The degree of saturation (DoS) is an important measure of the usable green time and indicates how close the network is to

reaching its maximum capacity. A DoS value greater than 100% indicates oversaturation, and a queue will grow as long as the specified flow conditions exist. Degree of Saturation (DoS) for the current signal timings plan is 96% which is close to the limit.

IV. VEHICLE ACTUATED SIMULATION SOFTWARE MODEL

PTV VISSIM [7] is a software that can be used for simulation by people from many areas. Around the world, traffic engineers are using the software to assist them in making decisions. It is a robust software that takes into account a wide range of criteria, enabling us to provide a large number of outcomes [8].

A. VISSIM Traffic Model

The traffic model generated within VISSIM software is shown on the **Figure 7**. The model is almost the same as one created using TRANSYT software. The difference is that VISSIM model includes inductive loop detectors marked as blue rectangles. Every traffic lane is equipped with detectors. North, East and West approaches are equipped with 3 rows of detectors while South approach is equipped with 2 rows of detectors.




Figure 7 VISSIM traffic model

First row (near stop line) serves to detect the vehicle presence and initialize demand for the stage in which that phase is active, while second and third row are used to extend green times for that approach (phase).

B. Vehicle Actuated Programming in VISSIM

VisVAP (Vehicle Actuated Programming), an add-on module for the VISSIM simulation program, was used for the creation and simulation of traffic control algorithms. VisVAP enables the use of object-oriented programming. Flowcharts are used to implement the algorithm's logic for traffic control. The layout of the flowchart in **Figure 8**. It shows that an ASCII database with the extension "pua" containing information on the number of phases, intergreen matrices, signal plan definitions, and so on is required. Following the creation of an algorithm in the VisVAP module, the file with extension "vap" is generated. The VISSIM simulation tool is then used

to load this file. The detectors and traffic lights created in the traffic network serve as connection between the "vap" file and VISSIM [7].




Figure 8 VisVAP flowchart [9]

Instead of the CROSSIG add-on, text editor is used to create "pua" file. Intergreen times remain the same as in TRANSYT model. Because of the complexity to create two stages with extended phases, VISSIM model introduces four stages:

- Stage 1: Phases A, C = green; B, D = red;
- Stage 2: Phase A = green; B, C, D = red;
- Stage 3: Phases B, D = green; A, C = red;
- Stage 4: Phase D = green; A, B, C = red;

C. Algorithm development

Algorithm developed for the intersection is shown in the **Figure 9**. As it is mentioned before, VA signal plan consists of four stages. Minimum green time for every phase is set to 10 seconds. In order to prevent long green times due to continuous demands, maximum stage duration is introduced for all four stages (**Table 3**).

Unit extension is set to 3 seconds. The unit extension has to be long enough for a subsequent vehicle traveling through busy traffic (traffic jam) with a safe headway to maintain a green signal (assuming the maximum green has not yet been reached).

Table 3 Maximum stage durations

Parameters	Duration (s)
MAX_STG1	30
MAX_STG2	50
MAX_STG3	15
MAX_STG4	20
MAX_GAP	3

The expressions used in this paper are shown in the table below (**Table 4**). Expressions to initialize stages are used. To initialize stages there are appropriate expressions called "Stage_active(1, 2, 3, 4)". To "call" the stage, there are expressions "Call_Stg(1, 2, 3, 4)" and to extend stage there are "Extend_Stg(1, 2, 3, 4)" expressions.

Table 4 VisVAP expressions

Expressions	Contents
Call_Stg1	Detection (1) OR Detection (3)
Call_Stg2	((Detection(9)) AND (Occupancy(2) > 20)) OR (Occupancy(4) > 20)
Call_Stg3	Detection(2) OR Detection(4)
Call_Stg4	((Detection(11)) AND (Occupancy(1) > 6)) OR (Occupancy(3) > 6)
Extend_Stg1	Headway(7) <= MAX_GAP
Extend_Stg2	Headway(9) <= MAX_GAP
Extend_Stg3	Headway(6) <= MAX_GAP
Extend_Stg4	Headway(11) <= MAX_GAP

By default, Stage 1 (Stage_Active (1)) is active. Stage 1 remains active until the maximum Stage 1 duration is reached (MAX_STG1) if there is no demand for Stage 2 (Call_Stg2) and vehicles are detected on approach 3 (Extend_Stg1). Otherwise, after the Stage 1 minimum time is reached, the controller will change its state to Stage 2.

Stage 2 (Stage_Active (2)) remains active until the maximum Stage 2 duration is reached (MAX_STG2) if there is no demand for Stage 3 (Call_Stg3) and vehicles are detected on approach 1 (Extend_Stg2). Otherwise, after the Stage 2 minimum time is reached, the controller will change its state to Stage 3.

Stage 3 (Stage_Active (3)) remains active until the maximum Stage 3 duration is reached (MAX_STG3) if there is no demand for Stage 4 (Call_Stg4) and vehicles are detected on approach 2 (Extend_Stg3). Otherwise, after the Stage 3 minimum time is reached, the controller will change its state to Stage 4.

Stage 4 (Stage_Active (4)) remains active until the maximum Stage 4 duration is reached (MAX_STG4) if there is no demand for Stage 1 (Call_Stg1) and vehicles are detected on approach 4 (Extend_Stg4). Otherwise, after the Stage 3 minimum time is reached, the controller will change its state to Stage 1.




Figure 9 VISSIM algorithm

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Before the onsite installation of the detectors and VA signal control, VISSIM simulation model is used to provide results that can help us to estimate validity of the solution.

Three parameters are measured for both solutions, FT and VA signals and the results are compared. Simulation period is set by default, 1 hour (3600 seconds).

Parameters used and compared are:

1. *Average travel times* for all intersection movements (in seconds). The distance for which the travel time is measured is 200m - 100m before stop line and 100m after the stop line;




Figure 10 Average travel times

Figure 10 shows that the average travel times for almost all traffic movements are decreased when the VA traffic control is operating. The most significant difference is shown for all three movements from North approach (N-E, N-W and N-S). Average travel time for N-E movement decreased for 33%, N-W for 51% (from 108.99 to 53.3 meters) and N-S for 27%. In total, North approach movements decreased for average 37%.

2. *Average queue length* for all intersection approaches per one hour – 3600 seconds;




Figure 11 Average queue length

Average queue length is measured per approach. For all 4 approaches, average queue length decreased but the most

significant different is noticed on North approach (Figure 11). The average queue length, when the VA mode operates, decreased by 65% (from 89,16 to 31.20 meters). In total, North approach average queue length decreased for more than 21%.

3. *Maximum queue length* measured upstream by the queue counter – 3600 seconds.

Maximum queue length is measured per approach. Here the results are different. Maximum queue length decreased only for North approach (40%). For the East, South and West approach maximum queue length is larger for VA than FT mode (Figure 12). Those results can be neglected because average queue length as well as average travel times are significantly lower.




Figure 12 Maximum queue length

One of the obstacles to install the system like are the costs that are not negligible. According to National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRC), on average, the costs of installing are approximately \$65,000 per intersection [10].

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper shows traffic control on one of busiest intersections in Tashkent, Uzbekistan. Current state of the intersection is that it operates in FT mode. TRANSYT, software for macroscopic traffic modelling was used to create FT signal plans based on intersection geometry, traffic flow and traffic movements. The signal plan generated by TRANSYT is used for simulation with second software, VISSIM. VISSIM, software for microscopic traffic flow simulation, is used to develop the VA control algorithm and to compare the data, FT versus VA in terms of vehicle travel times and queue length (average and max).

The results of the evaluation show that the performance of the VISSIM adaptive traffic signal control decreased vehicle travel times and queue length, compared to TRANSYT FT control. The algorithm developed for this case is simple to understand - stage transition is the same as FT mode, the only difference is in duration of the phase green times, based on traffic flows. Usage of properly optimized VA algorithm can reduce both average traveling times and que lengths.

However, the justification of its usage depends on price of installed sensor which should be compared to savings in used fuel, environmental protection and other parameters. Such analysis will be subject of future work.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Signals, "Chapter 39 Vehicle Actuated Signals," pp. 1–19, 2014.
- [2] Z. Cakici and Y. S. Murat, "A Differential Evolution Algorithm-Based Traffic Control Model for Signalized Intersections," *Adv. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 2019, 2019, doi: 10.1155/2019/7360939.
- [3] S. Maadi, S. Stein, J. Hong, and R. Murray-Smith, "Real-Time Adaptive Traffic Signal Control in a Connected and Automated Vehicle Environment: Optimisation of Signal Planning with Reinforcement Learning under Vehicle Speed Guidance," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 19, 2022, doi: 10.3390/s22197501.
- [4] D. Pavleski, D. K. Nechoska, and E. Ivanjko, "Evaluation of Adaptive and Fixed Time Traffic Signal Strategies: Case Study of Skopje," vol. 872, 2019, doi: 10.20544/its2018.p21.
- [5] R. Fernandez, E. Valenzuela, F. Casanello, and C. Jorquera, "Evolution of the TRANSYT model in a developing country," *Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract.*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 386–398, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2005.08.008.
- [6] P. Ravikumar and T. V. Mathew, "Vehicle-actuated signal controller for heterogeneous traffic having limited lane discipline," *ITE J. (Institute Transp. Eng.*, vol. 81, no. 5, pp. 44–53, 2011.
- [7] MIROSLAV VUJIĆ, HRVOJE PANDŽA, EDOUARD IVANJKO, "A VISSIM Based Framework for Cooperative Ramp Metering," p. 2015, 2015.
- [8] U. Gazder, K. Alhalabi, and O. Alazzawi, "Calibration of autonomous vehicles in PTV VISSIM," pp. 39–42, 2021, doi: 10.1049/icp.2021.0752.
- [9] Y. El-Hansali *et al.*, "Smart Dynamic Traffic Monitoring and Enforcement System," *Comput. Mater. Contin.*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 2797–2806, 2021, doi: 10.32604/cmc.2021.014812.
- [10] A. Stevanovic, *Adaptive Traffic Control Systems: Domestic and Foreign State of Practice*. 2010. doi: 10.17226/14364.
- [11] Milićević V., Milutinović N, Božović M., Mihajlović B., Arsić D. (2011). Information System for Supporting the Monitoring of Vehicles Which Transport Hazardous Materials (TTEM Vol. 6/4 – 2011, 998-1007).
- [12] Vladimir Milićević, Nemanja Zdravković, Jovana Jović, Dušan Jagličić. (2022). Model development to software platform for beehive field placement optimization, *Acta Agriculturae Serbica*, ISBN 0354-9542, vol. 27 (53) 2022 UDC 638.1:004.4, DOI: 10.5937/AASer2253039M